

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vugnia 22313-1450 www.mpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIG	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/783,408	0	2/14/2001	Yasunari Yoshitomi	52437/24	52437/24 4751		
26646	7590	08/12/2003					
KENYON		ON		EXAMINER			
ONE BROA NEW YORK)04		IP, SIK	IP, SIKYIN		
		•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				1742	9		
				DATE MAILED: 08/12/2003	• •		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			mK-
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/783,408	YOSHITOMI ET AL.	
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sikyin Ip	1742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	S
THE REPLY FILED 28 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required the final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eithe condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	to avoid abandonment of this r: (1) a timely filed amendmen peal (with appeal fee); or (3)	application. A proper reply to t which places the application	in in
PERIOD FOR	R REPLY [check either a) or b	p)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of no event, however, will the statutory period for reply ex ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the pefee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration da	this Advisory Action, or (2) the date pire later than SIX MONTHS from the WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH. The date on which the petition underiod of extension and the correspondite of the shortened statutory period in the correspondite.	ne mailing date of the final rejection. IS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See er 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropria ding amount of the fee. The appropria for reply originally set in the final Offic	e MPEP ate extension ate extension be action; or
(2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See	37 CFR 1.704(b).		, even if
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37	CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dism		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	ed because:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require for	urther consideration and/or se	earch (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see No	ote below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the applicationissues for appeal; and/or	on in better form for appeal b	y materially reducing or simpli	fying the
(d) they present additional claims without car	nceling a corresponding numb	per of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re-	ejection(s):		1
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) we canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ould be allowable if submitted	in a separate, timely filed ame	endment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ reques application in condition for allowance because		n considered but does NOT pl	ace the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed SO	LELY to issues which were ne	ewly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendate explanation of how the new or amended claim			an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follo	ws:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>4-6</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.	•
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure State	ement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
10. Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· , 	



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth in Paper No. 7. Applicant argue that the examples of cited references have thinner sheet thickness. But, examples in the cited references are for illustration not for limitation.

PRIMARY EXAMINER